



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Yukinobu MOMOZONO et al.

Group Art Unit: 2628

Application No.: 10/648,368

Examiner: J. WANG

Filed: August 27, 2003

Docket No.: 116609

For: FONT PROCESSOR, TERMINAL DEVICE, FONT PROCESSING METHOD, AND
FONT PROCESSING PROGRAM

SUMMARY OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants' representatives conducted a personal interview in connection with the above-referenced application with Examiner Wang on May 7, 2007. Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the Applicants' representatives. Applicants' summary of substance of the personal interview is included in the following remarks.

During the personal interview, Applicants representatives discussed the March 16, 2007 Amendment in reply to the January 10, 2007 Office Action. Specifically, Applicants' representatives sought to reinforce arguments presented in the March 16 Amendment. In this regard, Applicants' representatives argued that pending claim 12 is not anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. U.S. 2003/0020729 B2 to Toji et al. (hereinafter "Toji").


In response to the Applicants' representatives arguments, the Examiner raised an issue regarding enablement. In particular, the Examiner first argued that Applicants' specification

does not support first, second, third and fourth determination units as recited in claim 12. In response, Applicants' representatives cited paragraphs [0041]-[0048] of Applicants' specification, which clearly would enable one of ordinary skill in the art reconstructing the processor recited in claim 12. The Examiner then asserted that at least Figs. 3A and 3B of Applicants' specification do not support the subject matter recited in claim 12. In reply, Applicants' representatives reasserted that the specification as a whole would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reconstruct the processor recited in claim 12 and that Figs. 3A and 3B only illustrate one embodiment discussed in the specification.

The Examiner then indicated that he would take Applicants' representatives arguments into further consideration in reviewing the March 16 Amendment.

Should any questions arise regarding this correspondence, all inquiries may be directed to Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Daniel A. Tanner, III
Registration No. 54,734

JAO:CJW/tbm

Date: May 10, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--